

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
1. FINANCIAL CHALLENGES The Council fails to respond adequately to the cuts in public sector funding over the coming 2- 3 years.	- Council is placed in severe financial crisis. Reputational damage to the Council and substantial crisis job losses. If the process is not properly managed, the Council will have little money for anything but statutory 'demand led services'	- Budget balanced in 17/18. - Further work required to balance the medium term, particularly driving the spending review programme. - £8m service transformation fund.	5	4	20	- Heavy involvement of City Mayor in ensuring spending review programme delivers. - Appropriate change management/ project management arrangements to be put in place for major review areas	5	2	10		Andy Keeling Alison Greenhill	31/03/2019/ 2020 and On-going

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>2. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT The Council fails to maintain effective relationships with stakeholders (partners, neighbouring Councils, NHS etc.). Key partners and stakeholders fail to support the council in delivery of its strategy as a result of tensions and strained relationships due to financial and other pressures. Council fails to identify tensions arising in the city (particularly as the financial challenges impact on communities) leading to unrest in specific communities/areas of the city.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Failure of local agreements and stakeholder arrangements to deliver agreed levels of performance, the impacts of which may reflect negatively on the Council adversely affecting its reputation. - Potential litigation where it impacts on formal contractual relationships. - Financial risk if Integration Transformation Fund plans are inadequate or not agreed. - Partnership working will be an expensive bureaucracy and fail to add value to improving outcomes for the citizens of Leicester. - Reputational damage to the Council/City from the perspective of stakeholders. - Partnership working fails to take into account the needs of all communities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mechanisms in place for regular dialogue including formal partnerships e.g. Health and Wellbeing Board. - City Mayor Faith and Community Forum in place to engage specifically with faith and non-faith communities. - Arrangements for engagement of, and support to, the Voluntary Community Sector (VCS) have been commissioned and contracts are in place. - Cllr Sood has partnership working within her portfolio. - Close involvement of City Mayor and Members in key partnerships. 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regular review and evaluation of the current position by Strategic Management Board. - Review existing arrangements and contracts for VCS engagement and support - Key aspects of partnership working being reviewed and updated in the light of Ofsted findings eg LSCB 	4	2	8		Miranda Cannon / All Strategic Directors	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
2. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT (Continued) If stakeholder engagement is not robust and effective but is critical to the delivery of the Council's priorities, statutory duties etc., these may not be delivered. An example of such is the need to have a continuing, productive partnership relationship with Clinical Commissioning Group which is particularly important in light of the importance for Adult Social Care of the Better Care Together Fund.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is no common vision or consensus across key partners in the City and therefore the work of individual organisations pulls in different and potentially conflicting directions. - Places a strain on resources and services to manage. - Partners are present round the table but are not collectively owning the agenda or taking on board the responsibilities and actions that arise therefore undermining the approach - Public health and wellbeing may be impacted or the quality of the service delivered to the Public is insufficient, which could cause harm. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Council/ Police have a Community Gold meeting which meets approx. once a month and includes Local Policing Unit commanders, the Basic Command Unit commander and council officers from Leicester Anti-Social Behaviour Unit, youth services, community services. This tracks and agrees joint actions to address any known tensions in communities. This is supported by a shared system between front line officers from the police and the council to track community tension. Community joint management group now in place which creates a regular conduit for engagement with community leaders. - LLEP Review has been finalised which has strengthened governance and management of the Leicester, Leicestershire Enterprise Partnership and links with Further Education/Higher Education/ VCS and business sectors. 										
3. CYBER RISK -Loss or compromise of IT systems and/or associated data through cyber security attacks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential financial or reputational damage to Council. - Potential Data Protection breaches. - Fines - Service delivery affected 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure close monitoring of existing perimeter and internal security protection. 	5	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Currently out to market for a Security and Incident Event Management service. - IT Security Manager appointed and will be in post August 2016. 	4	3	12		Andy Keeling / Alison Greenhill	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>4. BUSINESS/SERVICE CONTINUITY MANAGEMENT Unforeseen unpredictable events such as flood, power/utility failure etc. could impact on the council's assets, communication channels or resources etc.</p>	<p>- Insufficiently prepared management leads to disorder in the rapid restoration of business critical activities and the control of the emergency plan.</p> <p>- The emerging risk environment increasingly makes 'resilience' a significant focus for all organisations.</p> <p>- Budget cuts and rationalisation may also challenge the ability of Category 1 responders (which LCC are) to fulfil their statutory duty.</p> <p>- Resource restraints means that there is limited staff to perform manual operations at the volume required in an event/incident.</p> <p>- Council is unable to communicate to stakeholders/deliver its services.</p> <p>- Reputational Damage</p> <p>- Vulnerable service users in danger as such users face loss of service.</p> <p>- Financial Impact</p> <p>- Impact on resources</p>	<p>- All the Senior Management Team have roles in either the Corporate Business Continuity Management Team (CBCT) or are Emergency Controllers.</p> <p>- The Manager, Risk Management chairs the Multi-Agency Business Continuity Group.</p> <p>- All Business Critical Activities for the council are identified and named in the Corporate Business Continuity Plan (CBCP)</p> <p>- Critical Services BCPs are reviewed thoroughly and updated annually or as and when changes occur in service areas. These are then submitted to Risk Management Services who cast a critical eye on all these plans.</p> <p>- BCP Strategy and Policy tailored for the council in place to meet organisational needs.</p> <p>- Training offered corporately</p> <p>- Risk Management and Insurance Services/Emergency Management Team provide updates and lessons learnt on incidents to CBCT/Audit & Risk Committee as appropriate</p> <p>- Self cert annually by Directors</p> <p>- CBCP which is reviewed annually but also updated as and when changes occur which should be reflected in the plan</p> <p>- Resilience Direct Secure Site (web based) holds CBCP and all Business Critical Activities BCPs (alongside emergency planning documentation) and is securely accessed by members of the CBCT</p> <p>- Communications on-call arrangements working more effectively and training run for all staff involved including LRF training</p> <p>- Annual review of critical service business continuity plans in progress and annual self-certification confirming completion of all service business continuity plans</p>	5	2	10	<p>- Further embedding of business continuity management approach.</p> <p>- Further completion of Business Continuity tests.</p> <p>- Further communication/training and awareness for staff on continuity arrangements.</p> <p>- Closer integration of business continuity with Emergency Planning actively under consideration.</p> <p>- Review of ways in which refresher training / meetings are held with a view to integrating into Director/HoS quarterly meetings</p>	4	2	8		<p>Alison Greenhill/ Miranda Cannon</p>	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
5. INFORMATION GOVERNANCE Information Governance/Security/ Data Protection policies/procedures/ protocols are not followed by staff and members.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Major loss of public confidence in the organisation. - Potential litigation and financial loss to the Council. - Reputational damage to the Council. - With data held in a vast array of places and being transferred between supply chain partners, data becomes susceptible to loss; protection and privacy risks. - Reduction in the capacity/capability to retain such data. This could also be costly. - Excessive retention of data can still be requested through a Freedom of Information Act if retained. - Council may not share data with the appropriate individuals/bodies accurately, securely and in a timely manner. - Council fails to adequately secure/protect confidential and sensitive data held. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clear policies and protocols in place. - Staff have been trained and made aware of the Council's policies and procedures. - Secure storage solutions are now in place. - Paper retention has been reduced through the introduction of scanning etc. - Mandatory e-learning module for staff - Monthly reporting of incidents to Directors recently implemented 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clear and on-going communications to staff to reinforce policies and protocols. - Regular review and monitoring of arrangements across services by Service Managers supported by Information Security/Governance Teams. - Ensure that the policy in place around the management of electronic data and disposal of data is in the awareness of staff - Ongoing review and updating of appropriate information sharing agreements. 	4	2	8		Andy Keeling	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>6. COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS, POLICIES, PROCEDURES HEALTH AND SAFETY ETC</p> <p>Local management use discretion to apply inconsistent processes and misinterpret Corporate policies & procedures, perpetuating varying standards across business units.</p> <p>The City Council fails to respond effectively to the requirements of Health and Safety</p> <p>Executive/Government proposals and/or legislation which places health and safety responsibilities on local authorities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Places the organisation at risk e.g. fraud, data loss etc. Potential financial losses / inefficient use of resources. - Possibility of serious injury or death of member of staff or service user/members of the public. - Failure to meet statutory responsibilities. - Reputational damage to the Council. - Negative stakeholder relationships - Potential for increase in the number of insurance claims 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regular reporting from Internal Audit to Strategic Management Board. - Approach to the annual corporate governance review revised and a more effective process established. - Day to day management of Health and Safety responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service. Corporate Health and Safety team available to assist. - Risk is reported and controlled through Divisional Directors Operational Risk Registers (presented to the CMT each quarter) and these are underpinned by registers at Heads of Service level reviewed and discussed at Divisional Management Teams quarterly. - Regular inspections and reports by the Health and Safety team with all actions being followed up within a reasonable time. - A process of more regular reporting to Corporate Management Team on health and safety matters has been established 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continue to review and reinforce key standards and policies via regular communication. - Ensure Managers are appropriately trained and requirements are clearly set out in Job Descriptions and reinforced via appraisals. - Ensure Internal Audit findings are acted on in a timely manner. - Continue to refine and improve strategic monitoring and reporting in relation to Health & Safety to ensure responsibilities are reinforced from the top. - New Head of HR to take a fresh look at sickness absence management including the policy and procedure 	4	2	8		Kamal Adatia / Miranda Cannon	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
7. SAFEGUARDING Weak Management oversight of safeguarding processes in place leads to the Council failing to adequately safeguard vulnerable groups e.g. children and young people, elderly, those with physical and learning disabilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Death or serious injury. - Serious case reviews initiated. - Reputational damage to the Council. - Citizens lose confidence in the Council. - Negatively impacts on relationships with stakeholders. - Impacts severely on staff morale - Leads to high turnover of social workers and managers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safeguarding Adults and Children's Boards in place. - Regular reviews of policies/procedures and close supervision of staff. - Range of quality assurance processes exist within the Divisions. - Range of developments, including corporate training, exist within the Divisions to manage, support recruit and retain staff. - Improvement Board established following the Ofsted inspection and other arrangements eg Performance Board set up - 24/7 Duty and Advice Service in place - Single assessment team in place which has resulted in a reduced caseload and more timely intervention 	5	3	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Board performance and framework development. - Chair of Board has direct accountability through Chief Operating Officer. - Regular bi-annual meetings with Mayor and Adults and Children's Lead Members. - Full implementation of all necessary improvements identified via the Ofsted inspection of Children's Services - overseen by Improvement Board and independency Chair - Performance framework in place across Children's - positive progress highlighted in recent Ofsted reports - Version 11 of Liquid Logic implemented successfully 	5	2	10		Frances Craven/Steven Forbes	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
8. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor OFSTED outcome for schools - Increased risk of schools going into category of special measures - Poor outcome for Local Authority if inspected under the OFSTED framework for LA School Improvement effectiveness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revised desk top analysis to identify potential underperformance in individual schools and settings - Revised School Improvement Framework - Regular reporting to DMT and LMB on schools causing concern and targeted work - Self evaluation against OFSTED framework for inspection completed - At risk schools discussed and warning notices considered - Inspection file being collated to evidence effective and good practice in targeted work with schools 	4	4	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Targeted visits by Director of Learning - Revised support packages - Single plan implementation for RI schools - Local Authority Reviews of individual schools to be negotiated - Preparation for inspection to include briefing to all schools 	4	2	8		Frances Craven	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>9. CIVIL CONTINGENCY RESPONSE/INCIDENT RESPONSE</p> <p>Council resources may not be adequate or sufficient to respond should an external incident/disaster occur (for example, the impact of climate change leading to floods placing responsibility to the Council to house evacuees from other counties/areas) .</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An increase in inclement weather (flood, heat, waves, drought, windstorm, increased snow fall etc.) building the right infrastructure and new statutory flood and water risk management duties. - Having sufficient financial resources and flexibility to address these challenges becomes increasingly difficult. - Having sufficient assets/contingency arrangements. - Lack of resources could lead to inadequate response . - Impact on the publics health and wellbeing, safety/housing needs etc. - Adverse impact on budget - Reputational impact - Death/injury - Potential for increase in the number of insurance claims - Negative relationships with stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Corporate Management of this is outlined in the Leicester Sustainable Action Plan action plan which covers all areas of management activity across the Council and its partners to reduce carbon. - Implementation is monitored through a carbon management board. - Day to day management of climate change responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service. - Risk is reported and controlled through the Divisional Directors Operational Risk Registers (presented to Corporate Management Team each quarter) and these are underpinned through regular reviews as part of the revised Eco-Management Audit Scheme (EMAS) system. - Local Resilience Forum (LRF) county wide partnering arrangement. - Leicester City Council (LCC) is part of the Resilience Partnership of local authorities in LLR LLR Health Protection Committee coordinates health protection response across LA/PHE/NHS - LRF multi-agency flooding TCG exercise held at City Hall to test facilities here. Lessons learnt/debrief held. 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public engagement and city wide flood defence programmes are being developed jointly with the Environment Agency. This provides a two-pronged approach to manage the risk of severe flooding arising from climate change. - LRF and Resilience Partnership arrangements continue to be reviewed. - Robust schedule of plan reviews and training in place and agreed via the LRF - LLR-wide Health Protection Committee arrangements under review to provide assurance around management of health protection risks/ incidents and outbreaks 	4	2	8		<p>Miranda Cannon / Alison Greenhill/ Ruth Tennant</p>	<p>01/04/18 and ongoing</p>

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>10. RESOURCE: CAPACITY, CAPABILITY, RETENTION & DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>Lack of workforce planning and appropriate development of managers and employees leaves the Council exposed to service failure.</p> <p>The Council does not have the capacity/resilience in resources, should an event/incident occur, may significantly increase the demand on front line services.</p> <p>Changing market conditions gives rise to the council not being seen as first choice for employment as private sector may be perceived as offering better reward.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Council does not have the right skills, behaviours and competencies in terms of the workforce to deliver the city's vision and priorities. - The Council fails to maximise the potential of its key resource. - Staff become demotivated/are under pressure which has an impact on productivity and delivery across the Council. - Disruption to service delivery. - Impacts on continuity of services. Creates risks in delivery because information on processes/procedures etc is lost - Service demands may not be met. - Reputational damage. - Financial impacts. - Drain on resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Organisational Development Team (OD) working to develop their role and remit and engagement with the organisation - Organisational vision and values continued roll out - Active programme of work to support young people into employment and to utilise graduates, apprenticeships, work placements etc across the Council - Transformation and Service Improvement Team (TSI) actively supporting a range of areas around business change, process re-engineering etc and supporting skills transfer in the process - Recruitment and retention being linked more closely with wider place marketing 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complete the workforce strategy and use this as a basis for detailed workforce planning and to inform further what OD interventions and L&D activity and support is needed as part of the work of the OD Team - Continue the embedding of the vision and values across the organisation 	3	3	9		Miranda Cannon	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>11. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT & PROCUREMENT Contract management protocols/procedures are not robust and there is lack of understanding/awareness within the Council. Service areas may exercise partnership arrangements/ collaborative agreements where formalised/legal contracts are not in place and possibly these may not be legally binding.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reputational damage. - Financial impacts; valuable funding is used for rectification of issues. - Increase in staff resources to defend a challenge. - Potential for litigation and fines being incurred. - Contract service level agreements may not be adhered to. - The Council does not receive value for money for the services it procures. - The Council is challenged in the reduction of contracts when re-tendered. - Discouraged providers may not tender for the contract in the future, potentially reducing the portfolio of providers and even reducing the availability of high quality providers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revised and improved Contract Procedure Rules in place along with associated guidance. - Policy that all procurement over a de minimis threshold must be carried out by one of the specialist procurement teams. - Professional procurement staff recruited and in post - Contract Risk Management training available from RMIS - Engagement with local supplier groups - Professional training for procurement staff (MCIPS) - Implementation of new electronic tendering system 	3	3	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of new procurement template documentation - Implementation of new electronic tendering system - Professional training for procurement staff (MCIPS) - Training in procurement and contract management for staff across the Council - Enhanced engagement with local business to widen portfolio of potential suppliers - Development of communications plan to ensure all staff are informed of above as appropriate to their role. - Undertake recruitment to address vacancies in the Procurement Services Team - Development of new Service Analysis Team 	3	3	9		Alison Greenhill	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
11. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT & PROCUREMENT (Continued).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Council pay higher fees for services contracted or are unable to exit contracts when service delivery is not inline with the expected quality/contractual requirements. - The Council may not procure goods and services from sustainable providers. 											
12. ASSET MANAGEMENT Absence of an asset management strategy will affect the future conditions/status of buildings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reputational damage. - Increase in costs. - Loss of predicted revenue. - Deterioration of assets. - Potential harm to the public. - New business are not attracted to Leicester. - The council's assets may fall into disrepair losing income and increasing maintenance costs. In a worse case scenario assets may be totally lost and community engagement too. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A single corporate asset management system is now in place. - Central Maintenance Fund is available to address urgent repair items and Health and Safety items in the estate. - Building Schools for the Future (BSF) programme now complete and a planned maintenance programme for schools has been established - Condition surveys have now been completed for all schools, neighbourhood and leisure assets - Using Buildings Better (UBB) programme now provides a corporate overview of the estate with a focus on rationalising operational assets and improving as appropriate the condition of retained assets, as well as disposal of assets for economic and/or other benefits. The programme encompasses the existing TNS project and accommodation strategy programme, plus work-streams on depots, stores and workshops, Early Help (CYP&F centres primarily), channel shift and surplus assets. It has a strategic focus on assets to be retained and those to be disposed of. 	5	4	20	- Continued development of effective planned maintenance programme across the estate-performance measurement in place to provide assurance regarding compliance- concerto being established and populated to work as the single corporate asset management system	5	3	15		Phil Coyne/Miranda Cannon	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
13. NATIONAL AGENDA/CHANGES IN LEGISLATION/ GOVERNMENT ETC On-going changes in government, legislation etc. gives rise to new demands and responsibilities with insufficient time for implementation and insufficient budget.	- Loss of income. - Services may not be delivered. - Reputational damage. - The budget may not be sufficient to deliver the expected service demand. - Statutory services. such as public health may be reduced and or the Council is unable to protect and safeguard the public, vulnerable individuals etc. - Implementation of unpopular fees for services required by the Public of the Council. - The health and wellbeing of the City may be impacted. -Causing service failure or significant cost over runs.	- Directors keep abreast of policy change and development in their portfolios. - The implications of change described and discussed - including political briefings if required. - Budgeting takes account of national changes. - Staff are trained in new requirements.	4	3	12	- Examine options for service integration; improved leadership development; manage demand better; have honest conversations with the public about what can be expected from us - Improve commissioning activity across the Council.	3	2	6		Andy Keeling	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
14. CHANNEL SHIFT The Council may be unsuccessful in channel shifting customers to less resource intensive forms of contact than face to face or telephone contact. The infrastructure may not be in place to enable the shift and the culture change is not enabled among staff and customers to support it.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Service delivery not met. - Adverse affect on budget. - Reputational damage. - Impact on resource provision. - Process and improvements do not materialise. - Lack of access to data. - Customer access channels may not be improved. - Services will become unaffordable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A Channel Shift programme is in place and a channel shift vision developed and communicated to senior managers, Executive and scrutiny. An underpinning programme of work has been put together and a current set of priorities agreed. Channel Shift Board in place to drive the development and delivery of the programme. Interim Director of Smart Cities and Digital Transformation now overseeing the programme. - The Transforming Neighbourhood Services programme has supported development of a digital hub approach which continues through the UBB programme - New corporate website launched in March 2015 and is helping drive increased on-line transactions. New CRM system procured and implementation included launch of a 'My Account' functionality on the website which currently offers around 60 on-line transactions. - Major redevelopment of Visit Leicester website completed and new website launched - Continued strategic focus on the use and role of digital media in the organisation - Digital Board is providing a strong Executive focus on this agenda 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continue to deliver the channel shift programme - All services to continue to review their Comms to ensure that online options are promoted ahead of traditional access channels. - Ongoing communications to support channel shift amongst staff and customers. 	3	3	9		Natalie Blackshaw / Miranda Cannon / Alison Greenhill	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2 - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
15. EU REFERENDUM LEAVE RESULT. There may be significant implications relating to requirements for further public sector cuts, reductions in other funding streams particularly for infrastructure projects, as well as longer-term legislative changes in areas such as procurement. Also creating a level of instability and uncertainty in financial markets	- Further budget reductions. Impacts on major infrastructure schemes and vision around future city development. - Implications in terms of treasury management. - Need in future to revisit key policies and procedures	- Monitor situation closely.	4	3	12	- Consider implications alongside future budget strategy	3	3	9		Andy Keeling / Alison Greenhill	31/01/2018 and ongoing
16. FIRE RISK IN TALL BUILDINGS As a result of the failure of cladding materials and fire safety measures the fire service issues a prohibition notice leading to the evacuation of a high rise residential building .	- The Council is faced with the potential rehousing of occupiers at short notice and for a potentially indeterminate period of time.	- The Council is contributing to an exercise (led by LFRS) whereby high rise buildings are assessed for a) cladding b) whether that cladding is ACM and c) through the fire service, whether the building satisfies fire safety regulations.	4	3	12	- The fire service will provide the Council with an early indication of any buildings where a prohibition notice is likely to be issued in order that options for temporary accommodation can be considered in advance of any potential displacement.	2	3	6		Phil Coyne	31/01/2018 Ongoing

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			

Amendments

<p>2. STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT The Council fails to maintain effective relationships with stakeholders (partners, neighbouring Councils, NHS etc.). Key partners and stakeholders fail to support the council in delivery of its strategy as a result of tensions and strained relationships due to financial and other pressures. Council fails to identify tensions arising in the city (particularly as the financial challenges impact on communities) leading to unrest in specific communities/areas of the city.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Failure of local agreements and stakeholder arrangements to deliver agreed levels of performance, the impacts of which may reflect negatively on the Council adversely affecting its reputation. - Potential litigation where it impacts on formal contractual relationships. - Financial risk if Integration Transformation Fund plans are inadequate or not agreed. - Partnership working will be an expensive bureaucracy and fail to add value to improving outcomes for the citizens of Leicester. - Reputational damage to the Council/City from the perspective of stakeholders. - Partnership working fails to take into account the needs of all communities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mechanisms in place for regular dialogue including formal partnerships e.g. Health and Wellbeing Board. - City Mayor Faith and Community Forum in place to engage specifically with faith and non-faith communities. - Arrangements for engagement of, and support to, the Voluntary Community Sector (VCS) have been commissioned and contracts are in place. - Cllr Sood has partnership working within her portfolio. - Close involvement of City Mayor and Members in key partnerships. 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regular review and evaluation of the current position by Strategic Management Board. - Review existing arrangements and contracts for VCS engagement and support - Key aspects of partnership working being reviewed and updated in the light of Ofsted findings eg LSCB 	4	2	8		<p>Miranda Cannon / All Strategic Directors</p>	<p><u>01/04/18</u> and <u>ongoing</u></p>
<p>3. CYBER RISK -Loss or compromise of IT systems and/or associated data through cyber security attacks</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential financial or reputational damage to Council. - Potential Data Protection breaches. - Fines - Service delivery affected 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure close monitoring of existing perimeter and internal security protection. 	5	5	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Currently out to market for a Security and Incident Event Management service. - IT Security Manager appointed and will be in post August 2016. 	4	3	12		<p>Andy Keeling / Alison Greenhill</p>	<p><u>01/04/18</u> and <u>ongoing</u></p>

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
4. BUSINESS/SERVICE CONTINUITY MANAGEMENT Unforeseen unpredictable events such as flood, power/utility failure etc. could impact on the council's assets, communication channels or resources etc.	- Insufficiently prepared management leads to disorder in the rapid restoration of business critical activities and the control of the emergency plan. - The emerging risk environment increasingly makes 'resilience' a significant focus for all organisations. - Budget cuts and rationalisation may also challenge the ability of Category 1 responders (which LCC are) to fulfil their statutory duty. - Resource restraints means that there is limited staff to perform manual operations at the volume required in an event/incident. - Council is unable to communicate to stakeholders/deliver its services. - <u>Reputational Damage</u> - <u>Vulnerable service users in danger as such users face loss of service</u> - <u>Financial Impact</u> - <u>Impact on resources</u>	<u>All the Senior Management Team have roles in either the Corporate Business Continuity Management Team (CBCT) or are Emergency Controllers.</u> - <u>Head of Internal Audit and Risk Management Chairs the Multi-Agency Business Continuity Group</u> - <u>CBCT have formal refresher meetings three times a year</u> - <u>The Manager, Risk Management chairs the Multi-Agency Business Continuity Group.</u> - <u>All Business Critical Activities for the council are identified and named in the Corporate Business Continuity Plan (CBCP)</u> - <u>Critical Services BCPs are reviewed thoroughly and updated annually or as and when changes occur in service areas. These are then submitted to Risk Management Services who cast a critical eye on all these plans.</u> - <u>BCP Strategy and Policy tailored for the council in place to meet organisational needs.</u> - <u>Training offered corporately</u> - <u>Directors involvement in CBCT Meetings held 3 times a year.</u> - <u>Risk Management and Insurance Services/Emergency Management Team provide updates and lessons learnt on incidents to CBCT/Audit & Risk Committee as appropriate</u> - <u>Self cert annually by Directors</u> - <u>CBCP reviewed annually but also updated as and when changes occur which should be reflected in the plan</u> - <u>Resilience Direct Secure Site (web based) holds CBCP and all Business Critical Activities BCPs (alongside emergency planning documentation) and is securely accessed by members of the CBCT</u> - <u>Communications on-call arrangements working more effectively and training run for all staff involved including LRF training</u> - <u>Annual review of critical service business continuity plans in</u>	5	2	10	- Further embedding of business continuity management approach. - Further completion of Business Continuity tests. - Further communication/training and awareness for staff on continuity arrangements. - <u>Closer integration of business continuity with Emergency Planning actively under consideration.</u> - <u>Review of ways in which refresher training / meetings are held with a view to integrating into Director/HoS quarterly meetings</u>	4	2	8		Alison Greenhill/ Miranda Cannon	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
5. INFORMATION GOVERNANCE Information Governance/Security/Data Protection policies/procedures/ protocols are not followed by staff and members.	- Major loss of public confidence in the organisation. - Potential litigation and financial loss to the Council. - Reputational damage to the Council. - With data held in a vast array of places and being transferred between supply chain partners, data becomes susceptible to loss; protection and privacy risks. - Reduction in the capacity/capability to retain such data. This could also be costly. - Excessive retention of data can still be requested through a Freedom of Information Act if retained. - Council may not share data with the appropriate individuals/bodies accurately, securely and in a timely manner. - Council fails to adequately secure/protect confidential and sensitive data held.	- Clear policies and protocols in place. - Staff have been trained and made aware of the Council's policies and procedures. - Secure storage solutions are now in place. - Paper retention has been reduced through the introduction of scanning etc. - Mandatory e-learning module for staff - Monthly reporting of incidents to Directors recently implemented	4	3	12	- Clear and on-going communications to staff to reinforce policies and protocols. - Regular review and monitoring of arrangements across services by Service Managers supported by Information Security/Governance Teams. - Ensure that the policy in place around the management of electronic data and disposal of data is in the awareness of staff - Ongoing review and updating of appropriate information sharing agreements.	4	2	8		Andy Keeling	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>6. COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATION, POLICIES, PROCEDURES HEALTH AND SAFETY ETC</p> <p>Local management use discretion to apply inconsistent processes and misinterpret Corporate policies & procedures, perpetuating varying standards across business units. The City Council fails to respond effectively to the requirements of Health and Safety Executive/Government proposals and/or legislation which places health and safety responsibilities on local authorities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Places the organisation at risk e.g. fraud, data loss etc. Potential financial losses / inefficient use of resources. - Possibility of serious injury or death of member of staff or service user/members of the public. - Failure to meet statutory responsibilities. - Reputational damage to the Council. - Negative stakeholder relationships - Potential for increase in the number of insurance claims 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Regular reporting from Internal Audit to Strategic Management Board.</u> - <u>Approach to the annual corporate governance review revised and a more effective process established.</u> - <u>Day to day management of Health and Safety responsibility rests with the Operational Directors and their Heads of Service. Corporate Health and Safety team available to assist.</u> - <u>Risk is reported and controlled through Divisional Directors Operational Risk Registers (presented to the CMT each quarter) and these are underpinned by registers at Heads of Service level reviewed and discussed at Divisional Management Teams quarterly.</u> - <u>Regular inspections and reports by the Health and Safety team with all actions being followed up within a reasonable time.</u> - <u>A process of more regular reporting to Corporate Management Team on health and safety matters has been established</u> - Significant change to the absence management policy and procedure rolled out. 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continue to review and reinforce key standards and policies via regular communication. - Ensure Managers are appropriately trained and requirements are clearly set out in Job Descriptions and reinforced via appraisals. - Ensure Internal Audit findings are acted on in a timely manner. - Continue to refine and improve strategic monitoring and reporting in relation to Health & Safety to ensure responsibilities are reinforced from the top. - New Head of HR to take a fresh look at sickness absence management including the policy and procedure 	4	2	8		<p>Kamal Adatia / Miranda Cannon</p>	<p><u>01/04/18</u> <u>and</u> <u>ongoing</u></p>

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
7. SAFEGUARDING Weak Management oversight of safeguarding processes in place leads to the Council failing to adequately safeguard vulnerable groups e.g. children and young people, elderly, those with physical and learning disabilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Death or serious injury. - Serious case reviews initiated. - Reputational damage to the Council. - Citizens lose confidence in the Council. - Negatively impacts on relationships with stakeholders. - Impacts severely on staff morale - Leads to high turnover of social workers and managers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Safeguarding Adults and Children's Boards in place. - Regular reviews of policies/procedures and close supervision of staff. - Range of quality assurance processes exist within the Divisions. - Range of developments, including corporate training, exist within the Divisions to manage, support recruit and retain staff. - Improvement Board established following the Ofsted inspection and other arrangements eg Performance Board set up - 24/7 Duty and Advice Service in place - Single assessment team in place which has resulted in a reduced caseload and more timely intervention 	5	3	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Board performance and framework development. - Chair of Board has direct accountability through Chief Operating Officer. - Regular bi-annual meetings with Mayor and Adults and Children's Lead Members. - Full implementation of all necessary improvements identified via the Ofsted inspection of Children's Services - overseen by Improvement Board and independency Chair - Performance framework in place across Children's - positive progress highlighted in recent Ofsted reports - Version 11 of Liquid Logic implemented successfully 	5	2	10		Frances Craven/Steven Forbes	31/01/18 and ongoing
8. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor OFSTED outcome for schools - Increased risk of schools going into category of special measures - Poor outcome for Local Authority if inspected under the OFSTED framework for LA School Improvement effectiveness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revised desk top analysis to identify potential underperformance in individual schools and settings - Revised School Improvement Framework - Regular reporting to DMT and LMB on schools causing concern and targeted work - Self evaluation against OFSTED framework for inspection completed - At risk schools discussed and warning notices considered - Inspection file being collated to evidence effective and good practice in targeted work with schools 	4	4	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Targeted visits by Director of Learning - Revised support packages - Single plan implementation for RI schools - Local Authority Reviews of individual schools to be negotiated - Preparation for inspection to include briefing to all schools 	4	2	8		Frances Craven	01/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>10. RESOURCE: CAPACITY, CAPABILITY, RETENTION & DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>Lack of workforce planning and appropriate development of managers and employees leaves the Council exposed to service failure.</p> <p>The Council does not have the capacity/resilience in resources, should an event/incident occur, may significantly increase the demand on front line services. Changing market conditions gives rise to the council not being seen as first choice for employment as private sector may be perceived as offering better reward.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Council does not have the right skills, behaviours and competencies in terms of the workforce to deliver the city's vision and priorities. - The Council fails to maximise the potential of its key resource. - Staff become demotivated/are under pressure which has an impact on productivity and delivery across the Council. - Disruption to service delivery. - Impacts on continuity of services. Creates risks in delivery because information on processes/procedures etc is lost - Service demands may not be met. - Reputational damage. - Financial impacts. - Drain on resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Organisational Development Team (OD) working to develop their role and remit and engagement with the organisation</u> - <u>Organisational vision and values continued roll out</u> - <u>Active programme of work to support young people into employment and to utilise graduates, apprenticeships, work placements etc across the Council</u> - <u>Transformation and Service Improvement Team (TSI) actively supporting a range of areas around business change, process re-engineering etc and supporting skills transfer in the process</u> - <u>Recruitment and retention being linked more closely with wider place marketing</u> - New Head of HR started and will review the OD function and progress work to embed the OD approach - Specific OD interventions underway with key service areas eg Adult Social Care, Housing to support work such as leadership and performance management. Work underway on a workforce strategy to be followed by workforce planning across divisions 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continue to develop the Council's OD and TSI approaches and embed these teams - <u>Complete the workforce strategy and use this as a basis for detailed workforce planning and to inform further what OD interventions and L&D activity and support is needed as part of the work of the OD Team</u> - Consider retention mechanisms and succession planning. - <u>Continue the embedding of the vision and values across the organisation</u> - New Head of HR to develop a new HR work plan and review OD Team management and structure. - Continue to work closely with service areas to identify and action critical OD requirements - Continue initial work to review and priorities corporate L&D needs and to review areas such as induction and staff/management competencies 	3	3	9		Miranda Cannon	01/04/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
<p>11. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT & PROCUREMENT</p> <p>Contract management protocols/procedures are not robust and there is lack of understanding/ awareness within the Council.</p> <p>Service areas may exercise partnership arrangements/ collaborative agreements where formalised/legal contracts are not in place and possibly these may not be legally binding.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reputational damage. - Financial impacts; valuable funding is used for rectification of issues. - Increase in staff resources to defend a challenge. - Potential for litigation and fines being incurred. - Contract service level agreements may not be adhered to. - The Council does not receive value for money for the services it procures. - The Council is challenged in the reduction of contracts when re-tendered. - Discouraged providers may not tender for the contract in the future, potentially reducing the portfolio of providers and even reducing the availability of high quality providers. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revised and improved Contract Procedure Rules in place along with associated guidance. - Policy that all procurement over a de minimis threshold must be carried out by one of the specialist procurement teams. - Professional procurement staff recruited and in post - Contract Risk Management training available from RMIS - Engagement with local supplier groups - Professional training for procurement staff (MCIPS) - Implementation of new electronic tendering system 	3	3	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development of new procurement template documentation - Implementation of new electronic tendering system - Professional training for procurement staff (MCIPS) - Training in procurement and contract management for staff across the Council - Enhanced engagement with local business to widen portfolio of potential suppliers - Development of communications plan to ensure all staff are informed of above as appropriate to their role. - Undertake recruitment to address vacancies in the Procurement Services Team - Development of new Service Analysis Team 	3	3	9		<p>Alison Greenhill</p>	<p>31/01/18 and ongoing</p>

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
12. ASSET MANAGEMENT Absence of an asset management strategy will affect the future conditions/status of buildings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reputational damage. - Increase in costs. - Loss of predicted revenue. - Deterioration of assets. - Potential harm to the public. - New business are not attracted to Leicester. - The council's assets may fall into disrepair losing income and increasing maintenance costs. In a worse case scenario assets may be totally lost and community engagement too. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A single corporate asset management system is now in place. - Central Maintenance Fund is available to address urgent repair items and Health and Safety items in the estate. - Building Schools for the Future (BSF) programme now complete and a planned maintenance programme for schools has been established - Condition surveys have now been completed for all schools, neighbourhood and leisure assets - Using Buildings Better (UBB) programme now provides a corporate overview of the estate with a focus on rationalising operational assets and improving as appropriate the condition of retained assets, as well as disposal of assets for economic and/or other benefits. The programme encompasses the existing TNS project and accommodation strategy programme, plus work-streams on depots, stores and workshops. Early Help (CYP&F centres primarily), channel shift and surplus assets. It has a strategic focus on assets to be retained and those to be disposed of. 	5	4	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continued development of effective planned maintenance programme across the estate- performance measurement in place to provide assurance regarding compliance- concerto being established and populated to work as the single corporate asset management system - Continue delivery of the UBB programme including disposal of assets - Recruit additional resources to support disposals. Review process around disposals 	5	3	15		Phil Coyne/Miranda Cannon	01/04/18 and ongoing
13. NATIONAL AGENDA/CHANGES IN LEGISLATION/ GOVERNMENT ETC On-going changes in government, legislation etc. gives rise to new demands and responsibilities with insufficient time for implementation and insufficient budget.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Loss of income. - Services may not be delivered. - Reputational damage. - The budget may not be sufficient to deliver the expected service demand. - Statutory services. such as public health may be reduced and or the Council is unable to protect and safeguard the public, vulnerable individuals etc. - Implementation of unpopular fees for services required by the Public of the Council. - The health and wellbeing of the City may be impacted. -Causing service failure or significant cost over runs. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Directors keep abreast of policy change and development in their portfolios. - The implications of change described and discussed - including political briefings if required. - Budgeting takes account of national changes. - Staff are trained in new requirements. 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Examine options for service integration; improved leadership development; manage demand better; have honest conversations with the public about what can be expected from us - Improve commissioning activity across the Council. 	3	2	6		Andy Keeling	31/01/18 and ongoing

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
14. CHANNEL SHIFT The Council may be unsuccessful in channel shifting customers to less resource intensive forms of contact than face to face or telephone contact. The infrastructure may not be in place to enable the shift and the culture change is not enabled among staff and customers to support it.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Service delivery not met. - Adverse affect on budget. - Reputational damage. - Impact on resource provision. - Process and improvements do not materialise. - Lack of access to data. - Customer access channels may not be improved. - Services will become unaffordable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A Channel Shift programme is in place and a channel shift vision developed and communicated to senior managers, Executive and scrutiny. An underpinning programme of work has been put together and a current set of priorities agreed. Channel Shift Board in place to drive the development and delivery of the programme. <u>Interim Director of Smart Cities and Digital Transformation now overseeing the programme</u> - The Transforming Neighbourhood Services programme has supported development of a digital hub approach which continues through the UBB programme - New corporate website launched in March 2015 and is helping drive increased on-line transactions. New CRM system procured and implementation <u>included</u> includes recent launch of a 'My Account' functionality on the website which currently offers around 40 60 on-line transactions. - Major redevelopment of Visit Leicester website underway. <u>completed and new website launched</u> - Continued strategic focus on the use and role of digital media in the organisation - Audit of printed publications helped identify issues related to channel shift and quality of communications which have been shared and lessons learnt are being used to embed principles around ways of working in the Comms and Marketing Team particularly <u>Digital Board is providing a strong Executive focus on this agenda</u> 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Continue to deliver the channel shift programme - Review the first 12 months operation of the new corporate website in light of the channel shift agenda - All services to continue to review their Comms to ensure that online options are promoted ahead of traditional access channels. - Ongoing communications to support channel shift amongst staff and customers. - Continue the Visit Leicester website redevelopment to include transactional capability eg multi-venue ticket purchasing 	3	3	9		Natalie Blackshaw / Miranda Cannon / Alison Greenhill	01/04/18 and ongoing
15. EU REFERENDUM LEAVE RESULT. There may be significant implications relating to requirements for further public sector cuts, reductions in other funding streams particularly for infrastructure projects, as well as longer-term legislative changes in areas such as procurement. Also creating a level of instability and uncertainty in financial markets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Further budget reductions. Impacts on major infrastructure schemes and vision around future city development. - Implications in terms of treasury management. - Need in future to revisit key policies and procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitor situation closely. 	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consider implications alongside future budget strategy 	3	3	9		Andy Keeling / Alison Greenhill	<u>31/01/2018 and ongoing</u>

Appendix 2a - LCC Strategic Risk Register

Risk Register Owner: Andy Keeling, COO

Date completed: 31/10/17

RISK <i>What is the problem; what is the cause; what could go wrong? What is it that will prevent you from achieving your objectives?</i>	CONSEQUENCE/EFFECT: <i>What would occur as a result, how much of a problem would it be, to whom and why?</i>	EXISTING ACTIONS/CONTROLS <i>What are you doing to manage this risk now?</i>	RISK SCORE WITH EXISTING MEASURES			FURTHER MANAGEMENT ACTIONS/CONTROLS	TARGET SCORE WITH FURTHER ACTIONS/ CONTROLS REQUIRED			COST	RISK OWNER	TARGET DATE
			Impact	Probability	Risk		Impact	Probability	Risk			
16. FIRE RISK IN TALL BUILDINGS As a result of the failure of cladding materials and fire safety measures the fire service issues a prohibition notice leading to the evacuation of a high rise residential building .	The Council is faced with the potential rehousing of occupiers at short notice and for a potentially indeterminate period of time.	The Council is contributing to an exercise (led by LFRS) whereby high rise buildings are assessed for a) cladding b) whether that cladding is ACM and c) through the fire service, whether the building satisfies fire safety regulations.	4	3	12	The fire service will provide the Council with an early indication of any buildings where a prohibition notice is likely to be issued in order that options for temporary accommodation can be considered in advance of any potential displacement.	2	3	6		Phil Coyne	Ongoing